

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,150	02/11/2004	Ashutosh Vyas	26530.94	3076
27683 75 HAYNES AND	590 03/01/2007 BOONE, LLP	EXAMINER		
901 MAIN STRI	EET, SUITE 3100		PANNALA, SATHYANARAYAN R	
DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	03/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/776,150	VYAS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period fo	or Reply			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication. Co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,10-14,18-21 and 23-25 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
	on Papers			
10)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Amendment filed on 12/20/2006 has been entered with amended claims 1, 12 and 20. In this Office Action, claims 1-25 are pending.

Drawings

2. The drawings filed on 12/20/2006 are received for Fig. 1 and 2 and Examiner approved them.

Specification

3. The summary of the invention is not included in the specification. The Background of the invention and Brief summary of the invention must in separate section. A summary is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. See MPEP §§ 608.01(d).

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

⁽a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

⁽b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

⁽c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

⁽d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings"

Application/Control Number: 10/776,150

Art Unit: 2164

(37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

Page 3

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101, because none of the claims are directed to statutory subject matter. Independent claims 1, 12 and 20 deals with simple an abstract idea. A claim that recites a computer that solely calculates a mathematical formula or a computer disk that solely stores a mathematical formula is not directed to the type of statutory subject matter eligible for patent protection. The claims are not producing useful, concrete and tangible results. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 186 and Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71-72 (1972).

Art Unit: 2164

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 1-3, 10-14, 18-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harsham et al. (US Patent 6,041,347) hereinafter Harsham, and in view of Nguyen (USPA Pub. 2003/0172145 A1) hereinafter Nguyen.
- 8. As per independent claims 1, 12 and 20, Harsham teaches a centralized computer network management system that simultaneously configures and monitors in a computer network. The network administrator allowed to describe each network device, computer or groups of network devises and computers can be associated with

Application/Control Number: 10/776,150

Page 5

Art Unit: 2164

one or more rules which define configuration parameters (col. 1, lines 48-57). Harsham teaches the claimed, if the list is not empty, creating from the list a set of mapped independent rule blocks (col. 4, lines 25-28). Harsham teaches the claimed, for each block in the set, attempting to determine a position for the new access rule (Fig. 4, col. 5, lines 64-66). Harsham teaches the claimed, removing from the set those blocks for which a position cannot be determined and merging the blocks in the set to form a new independent rule block and inserting the new access rule therein (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 33-35). Harsham does not explicitly teach creating a new independent block if the list is empty. However, Nguyen teaches the claimed, if the list is empty, creating a new independent rule block and inserting the new access rule (page 15, paragraph [0434]). Nguyen also teaches the claimed, applying the access rules in the list to enforce policies and permissions to control access to various network resources (page 20, paragraph [0508]). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to have combine the teachings of the cited references because Nguyen's teachings would have allowed Harsham's method to provide all types of providers must position themselves for growth and agility to handle increasing numbers of subscribers, additional services, and workloads that are more challenging (page 3, paragraph [0030]).

9. As per dependent claims 2, 13 and 21, Harsham teaches the claimed, creating from the list a set of mapped independent rule blocks comprises selecting all blocks in

Application/Control Number: 10/776,150

Page 6

Art Unit: 2164

the list having at least one rule that is not disjoint with the new access rule (Fig. 7B, col. 7, lines 59-61).

- 10. As per dependent claims 3 and 14, Harsham teaches the claimed, attempting to determine a position for the new access rule comprises, comparing each existing rule in the rule block to the new access rule and if all existing rules have been compared against the new access rule and no determination has been made, determining a position for the new access rule that is at the end of the block (Fig. 7A, col. 7, lines 55-58).
- 11. As per dependent claims 10, 18 and 24, Harsham teaches the claimed, merging the blocks in the set to form a new independent rule block and inserting the new access rule therein comprises: placing all rules from every block in the set which are positioned ahead of the new access rule in front of the new access rule in the new block and placing all rules from every block in the set which are positioned after the new access rule behind the new access rule in the new block (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 33-35).
- 12. As per dependent claims 11, 19 and 25, Harsham teaches the claimed, removing an existing rule, wherein the removing includes: searching for the existing rule to be removed based on an identification number associated with the existing rule and removing the rule (Fig. 7A, col. 7, lines 58-59).

Art Unit: 2164

13. As per dependent claim 23, Harsham teaches the claimed, determining which of the new access and existing rules has priority is based at least partly on user determined criteria (Fig. 4, col. 5, lines 64-66).

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 4-9, 15-17 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/20/2006 with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2164

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (571) 272-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2164

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sathyanarayan Pannala Primary Examiner

srp Februar

February 27, 2007